			3/01/03 : CIA-RDI		\(\(\) \(
F		THE WHIT	E HOLSE		POEE ADO
71:41		7.7			
			1405	ነ ላ በን	
MESSAGE NO	1442	CLASSIFICATI	ON Um	der	
FROM Olip	hour Side	<u>بر</u>	× 345		PAGES
(na)	MET		(EXTENSIO		(ROOM NUMBE
MESSAGE DESC	RIPTION	/			
		1.0			
A (NCENCY)	DELIVER TO	<u>o:</u>	DEPT	/ROOM NO.	EXTENSI
	ROOF AND	ntelligence	Ageney -		
	482-6126				
	,			STAT	
	•		_	3171	
			•	- JIAI	
				JIAI	
REMARKS					
REMARKS					
REMARKS				STAT	
REMARKS					

THE STATE OF

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/01/03 : CIA-RDP89T00234R000200260010-7

October 17, 1988

To:

Department of Justice - Jack Perkins (633-2113)
Department of Transportation - Tom Herlihy (366-9293)
Department of State - Brownyn Bachrach (647-6643)
Department of the Treasury - Carole Toth (566-8523)
National Security Council
Central Intelligence Agency

Attached is a list of key provisions in the House and Senate drug bills that the Administration either strongly supports or strongly opposes. Please prepare one-pagers on each of these items using the format attached. (Agency assignments are indicated on the list of issues.)

These one-pagers will be used in discussions with congressional staff and are needed no later than 3:30 p.m. today, October 17th. (We understand that House-Senate staff consultations will begin at 5:30 p.m. today.) Please FAX your responses to Greg Jones at 395-3109. (Regular phone number = 395-3454.)

Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

Attachments

CC: Ken Schwartz Frank Kalder Donna Fossum

Lana Hurdle

John Carnevale
Phyllis Scheinberg
Dick Williams
Kevin Cummings

Bob Damus John Mathewson Mike Kasten Kevin Scheid

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/01/03 : CIA-RDP89T00234R000200260010-7

ADMINISTRATION STRONGLY SUPPORTS

- Chemical Diversion and Trafficking -- In both bills; Senate language preferable. (Justice)
- . o Death Penalty -- In both bills. (Justice)
 - Exclusionary Rule In both bills; House language is broader and assumed to be preferable. (Justice)
 - o Anti-Public Corruption -- In Senate bill. (Justice)
 - o Child Pornography -- In Senate bill. (Justice)
 - o <u>Debt Collection</u> -- In Senate bill. (Justice)
 - O User Accountability -- In both bills; Senate language believed to be narrower. (OMB)
 - O <u>Drug-Free Workplaces</u> -- In both bills; Senate language thought to be preferable. (OMB)
 - o <u>Innocent Owner</u> -- Sunate version (DOT)

PROVISIONS THAT THE ADMINISTATION STRONGLY OPPOSES

- Drug Czar -- In both bills; Senate version is more comprehensive. (Justice)
- FAA Legislative/Regulatory Bypass and Paperwork Reduction Act Exemption -- In House bill. (OMB)
- o Foreign Aid/Presidential Reporting Requirements -- In both bills; Senate bill thought to be less objectionable. (NSC)
- o <u>Diplomatic Immunity</u> -- In Senate bill. (State)
- O U.S. Currency Transaction Reporting by Foreign Banks -- In Senate bill. (Treasury)
- Protection for Intelligence Activities -- Not in House bill; Senate bill needs to be fixed.

 (CIA)
- o Innocent Owner -- House version (DOT)

OTHER

- O Habeas Corpus -- Not in either bill (although Senate contains language looking toward a bill in the 101st Congress).
- Punding (OMB)

(Use same title as on assignment sheet)

House Provision:

Senate Provision:

Administration Position

[Indicate whether Administration prefers House or Senate provision (or state that Administration supports/opposes both), with a brief statement of reasons for position, in the form of bullets/talking points.]